arXiv:1511.06966v1 [math.CO] 22 Nov 2015

ENUMERATING PERIODIC POINTS OF CERTAIN SEQUENTIAL DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

COLIN DEFANT

Abstract. A sequential dynamical system (SDS) consists of an undirected simple graph Y with vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$, a collection of vertex functions $\{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$, a permutation $\pi \in S_n$, and a collection of states A. In this system, vertices update their states in a sequential order specified by π using the vertex functions $\{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$. Any sequential dynamical system gives rise to an SDS-map $[Y, \{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n, \pi]$, which maps each initial configuration of vertex states to the new configuration of states obtained after each vertex updates.

Consider the two SDS-maps $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$ and $[C_n, (1+\text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$. Let $\alpha_n(r)$ and $\delta_n(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of period r of the maps $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$ and $[C_n, (1+\text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$, respectively. We give explicit formulas for $\alpha_n(r)$ and $\delta_n(r)$ for any $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 3$. Surprisingly, if we fix r and vary n, then we find that there are only two possible nonzero values of $\alpha_n(r)$ and one possible nonzero value of $\delta_n(r)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 37E15; Secondary 05A15.

Keywords: Sequential dynamical system; periodic point; parity; 1+parity; cycle graph; Möbius inversion.

1. Introduction

Suppose we wish to model a finite system in which objects have various states and can update their states in discrete time steps. Moreover, assume that the state to which an object updates depends only on the current state of that object along with the states of other nearby or connected objects. This rough idea is the basis for a graph dynamical system. A graph dynamical system contains a base graph used to represent the connectivity of objects, a set of states, and a collection of vertex functions that determine how a vertex updates its state depending on its current state and the current states of its neighbors. In addition, a graph dynamical system contains some rule determining the scheme by which vertices update their states. In a series of papers published between 1999 and 2001, Barrett, Mortveit, and Reidys introduced the notion of a sequential dynamical system (SDS), a graph dynamical system in which vertices update their states sequentially [1, 5, 6, 10]. Subsequently, several researchers have worked to develop a general theory of SDS (see, for example, [2, 3, 7, 8, 11]). We draw most of our terminology and background information concerning SDS from [9], a valuable reference for anyone interested in exploring this field.

An SDS is built from the following parts:

- An undirected simple graph Y with vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$.
- A set of states A. We will typically use the set of states $A = \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. We will let $q(v_i)$ denote the state of a vertex v_i.

This work was supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1358884.

- A collection of *vertex functions* $\{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$. Each vertex v_i of Y is endowed with its own vertex function $f_{v_i}\colon A^{\deg(v_i)+1} \to A$.
- A permutation $\pi \in S_n$. The permutation π is known as the *update order* of the SDS.

We will need the following notation. We always let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. For each positive integer x, $\nu_2(x)$ denotes the 2-adic order of x. We let $[\vec{a}]_i$ denote the i^{th} coordinate of a tuple $\vec{a}$. In a graph Y, we let $\deg(v)$ denote the degree of a vertex v. If k and m are positive integers, we let $k \pmod m$ be the unique element j of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $j \equiv k \pmod m$. Note that this is slightly different from the traditional notation in which the value of $k \pmod m$ is taken from the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Furthermore, to avoid an overabundance of parentheses, we will typically not parenthesize the number k if k has more than one term. For example, we might write $4 + 17 + 9 \pmod{10} = 10$.

Suppose a vertex v_i is adjacent to vertices $v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}, \ldots, v_{j_k}$, where $k = \deg(v_i)$. When it is time for v_i to update, the vertex function f_{v_i} takes as its inputs the states $q(v_i), q(v_{j_1}), q(v_{j_2}), \ldots, q(v_{j_k})$ and outputs the new state to which v_i should update. How should the states $q(v_i), q(v_{j_1}), q(v_{j_2}), \ldots, q(v_{j_k})$ be ordered when used as arguments of the function f_{v_i}? In general, the answer to this question can vary depending on the type of base graph over which the SDS is defined and the type of problem one wishes to solve. Here, the only type of base graph we will consider will be a cycle graph C_n, so we need only concern ourselves with a specific type of vertex function. We give the vertices of C_n the labels $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ so that for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, v_i is adjacent to v_j if and only if $i - j \equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$. We will list the arguments of f_{v_i} in the order $q(v_{i-1}), q(v_i), q(v_{i+1})$, where the subscripts are taken modulo n. In other words, when it is time for the vertex v_i to update, it updates to the new state $f_{v_i}(q(v_{i-1 \,(\text{mod } n)}), q(v_i), q(v_{i+1 \,(\text{mod } n)}))$.

The vector $(q(v_1), q(v_2), \ldots, q(v_n))$, which lists all of the states of the vertices of Y in the order corresponding to the vertex indices, is known as the *system state* of the SDS. For each vertex v_i of Y, we define the local update function $F_{v_i}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ by

$$F_{v_i}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, f_{v_i}(x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}), x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n),$$

where the subscripts of the arguments in $f(x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1})$ are taken modulo n. The local update function F_{v_i} represents the change in the system state that occurs when the vertex v_i updates its state using the vertex function f_{v_i}. From the local update functions and the update order $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ (we have written the permutation π as a word), we define the SDS-map $F\colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ by

$$F = F_{v_{\pi_n}} \circ F_{v_{\pi_{n-1}}} \circ \cdots \circ F_{v_{\pi_1}}.$$

Applying the map F is known as a *system update*; it represents the change in the system state that occurs when each vertex v_i updates in the sequential order specified by the permutation π.

To emphasize that the SDS is defined over the graph Y using the collection of vertex functions $\{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ and the update order π, we will often denote the SDS-map by $[Y, \{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n, \pi]$. If all of the vertex functions f_{v_i} are equal to the same function f, we will simply write $[Y, f, \pi]$ for the SDS-map. In the SDS considered here, all vertex functions will be equal. As mentioned before, we will often only concern ourselves with SDS defined over cycle graphs, so the SDS-maps we consider will have the form $[C_n, f, \pi]$.

Example 1.1. Consider the graph C_4 shown in block A of Figure 1. We define an SDS over C_4 using the update order $\pi = 1234$, the set of states $\mathbb{F}_2$, and the vertex function f given by $f(x, y, z) = x + y + z$. The initial system state of this SDS is $(1, 0, 1, 1)$, as shown by the blue labels in block A of Figure 1. We will perform a system update in order to find $[C_4, f, \pi](1, 0, 1, 1)$.



FIGURE 1. A system update of the SDS of Example 1.1. Block A shows the inital state of the SDS. Blocks B, C, and D show the intermediate steps of the system update. Block E shows the system state obtained after completing the system update.

Because $\pi_1 = 1$, we first apply the local update function F_{v_1}. We have

$$F_{v_1}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (f(x_4, x_1, x_2), x_2, x_3, x_4),$$

so

$$F_{v_1}(1, 0, 1, 1) = (f(1, 0, 1), 0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 1, 1).$$

Similarly, one can calculate that $F_{v_2}(0, 0, 1, 1) = (0, 1, 1, 1)$, $F_{v_3}(0, 1, 1, 1) = (0, 1, 1, 1)$, and $F_{v_4}(0, 1, 1, 1) = (0, 1, 1, 0)$. Letting $F = [C_4, f, \pi]$, we find that $F(1, 0, 1, 1) = (0, 1, 1, 0)$, as shown in block E of the figure. In other words, through a sequence of local updates, the system update transformed the system state $(1, 0, 1, 1)$ into the new system state $(0, 1, 1, 0)$. ♦

The SDS-map $F = [C_n, f, \pi]$ tells us how the states of the vertices of the graph C_n change when we update the graph in a sequential manner. A natural aim is to analyze the long-term behavior of the states of the vertices as we continually update the system. To do this, we make use of a directed graph known as the *phase space* of the SDS. The phase space, denoted $\Gamma(F)$, is a directed graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma(F)) = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and edge set

$$E(\Gamma(F)) = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n : \vec{y} = F(\vec{x})\}.$$

In other words, a directed edge is drawn from $\vec{x}$ to $F(\vec{x})$ for each $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. The phase space of the SDS given in Example 1.1 is shown in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. The phase space of the SDS described in Example 1.1. Note that we have omitted parentheses and commas from the vectors in order to improve the aesthetics of the image. For example, the vector $(1, 0, 1, 1)$ is written as 1011.

In Example 1.1, we used the update order $\pi = 1234$. Henceforth, we will let id denote the identity permutation $123 \cdots n$; this will be the primary update order we will consider. Our example also

used the vertex function $f\colon \mathbb{F}_2^3 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ given by $f(x,y,z) = x+y+z$. Following [9], we denote this specific function by parity_3. Similarly, we define the function $(1+\text{parity})_3\colon \mathbb{F}_2^3 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ by

$$(1+\text{parity})_3(x,y,z) = 1+\text{parity}_3(x,y,z) = 1+x+y+z.$$

More generally, given a positive integer k, we may define the functions $\text{parity}_k\colon \mathbb{F}_2^k \to \mathbb{F}_2$ and $(1+\text{parity})_k\colon \mathbb{F}_2^k \to \mathbb{F}_2$ by $\text{parity}_k(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i$ and $(1+\text{parity})_k(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k) = 1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i$. A function $g\colon \mathbb{F}_2^k \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is called *symmetric* if $g(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k) = g(x_{\sigma_1},x_{\sigma_2},\ldots,x_{\sigma_k})$ for any permutation $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in S_k$. Note that the functions $\text{parity}_k\colon \mathbb{F}_2^k \to \mathbb{F}_2$ and $1+\text{parity}_k\colon \mathbb{F}_2^k \to \mathbb{F}_2$ are symmetric. We will henceforth confine ourselves to working with SDS defined using the vertex functions parity_3 and $(1+\text{parity})_3$ (see [4] and [9] for results concerning other specific classes of SDS). Our primary motivation for doing so stems from the following theorem from [9]; this theorem provides one reason why the maps parity_k and $(1+\text{parity})_k$ merit special attention in the theory of SDS.

Theorem 1.1 ([9, Prop. 4.16])**.** *Let Y be an undirected simple graph on the n vertices $v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n$, and let $\pi \in S_n$. Suppose $\{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a collection of symmetric vertex functions such that the SDS-map $[Y,\{f_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n,\pi]\colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is bijective. Then for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, either $f_{v_i} = \text{parity}_{\deg(v_i)+1}$ or $f_{v_i} = (1+\text{parity})_{\deg(v_i)+1}$.*

2. Periodic Points of $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$

In this section, we will completely characterize the dynamics of the SDS-map $[C_n,\text{parity}_3,\text{id}]$. More precisely, for each positive integer r, we will enumerate the periodic points of $[C_n,\text{parity}_3,\text{id}]$ of period r. We begin with the following definitions, following Chapter 5 of [9].

Definition 2.1. Define a map $\iota_n\colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ by

$$\iota_n(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n) = (a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,a_n+a_1+a_2,a_n+a_1+a_3,\ldots,a_n+a_1+a_{n-1}).$$

We let $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} = \iota_n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ be the image of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ under ι_n.

Definition 2.2. Define a shift map $\sigma_{2n-2}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2} \to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ by

$$\sigma_{2n-2}(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{2n-2}) = (a_{n+1},a_{n+2},\ldots,a_{2n-2},a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n).$$

Let $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ be the restriction of σ_{2n-2} to $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$.

One may easily verify that if $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, then $\sigma_{2n-2}(\vec{x}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. This means that the range of $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}$ is indeed contained in $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, so it is valid to write $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. We state the following simple lemma for future reference.

Lemma 2.1. *The map $\iota_n\colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ is an injective linear transformation, and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. The maps $\sigma_{2n-2}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2} \to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ and $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ are vector space automorphisms.*

Proof. That ι_n is an injective linear transformation follows immediately from Definition 2.1. It then follows that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} = \iota_n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. Similarly, it is immediate from Definition 2.2 that $\sigma_{2n-2}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2} \to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ and $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ are injective linear transformations.

The last line of the lemma holds because any injective linear transformation from a vector space to itself is an automorphism. □

Definition 2.3. For each positive integer r, let $\alpha_n(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$ of period r, and let $\beta_n(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$ whose periods are divisors of r.

Observe that

$$\beta_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \alpha_n(d). \tag{1}$$

Our goal is to determine $\alpha_n(r)$ for any given n and r. To do so, we will instead determine an explicit formula for $\beta_n(r)$, from which we will be able to obtain a formula for $\alpha_n(r)$ by Möbius inversion.

The following fact, whose proof appears within the proof of Proposition 5.23 in [9], provides us with an important means of computing $\beta_n(r)$.

Fact 2.1. *The map $\iota_n \colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ is a bijection, and the diagram*



commutes. In particular, the maps $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, id]$ and $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}$ have the same number of periodic points of period r for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.4. For each positive integer r, let

$$A_n(r) = \{\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \colon \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}, \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) \neq \vec{x} \,\forall\, k < r\}$$

and

$$B_n(r) = \{\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \colon \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}\}.$$

The set $A_n(r)$ is simply the set of periodic points of $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}$ of period r while the set $B_n(r)$ is the set of periodic points of $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}$ whose periods divide r.

We see from Fact 2.1 that $\alpha_n(r) = |A_n(r)|$ and $\beta_n(r) = |B_n(r)|$. This alternative interpretation of the numbers $\alpha_n(r)$ and $\beta_n(r)$ will allow us to determine explicit formulas for them. To begin this process, we state the following corollary to Fact 2.1. Stated in a slightly different form[1], this theorem is presented as Proposition 5.23 in [9].

Corollary 2.1. *If n is even and $r \nmid n-1$, then $\alpha_n(r) = 0$. If n is odd and $r \nmid 2n-2$, then $\alpha_n(r) = 0$.*

[1]The statement of Proposition 5.23 in [9] is slightly mistaken. However, it is clear from the proof given in [9] how to amend the proposition, and we have done so in the statement of Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 2.1 gives us a useful set of restraints on the values of r that we even need to consider for any given n. We only need to consider values of r that divide $n-1$ if n is even; if n is odd, we need only consider values of r that divide $2n-2$. In fact, we will later prove the converses of the two statements in Corollary 2.1. Namely, if n is even and $r|n-1$ or n is odd and $r|2n-2$, then $\alpha_n(r) > 0$. We now prove some important lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let r be a positive integer such that $r|n-1$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ be a vector satisfying $\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$. Let $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ be such that $i_1 \equiv j_1 \pmod{2r}$ and $i_2 \equiv j_2 \pmod{r}$. We have $x_{i_1} = x_{j_1}$. Furthermore, if r is even or n is odd, then $x_{i_2} = x_{j_2}$.*

Proof. First, assume r is even. One may verify that

$$\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = (x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r).$$

Let $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (2n-2)/r - 2\}$. We have $[\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_{kr+t} = x_{(k+1)r+t}$. Because $\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$, we find that

$$x_{kr+t} = [\vec{x}]_{kr+t} = [\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_{kr+t} = x_{(k+1)r+t}.$$

Hence,

$$x_t = x_{r+t} = x_{2r+t} = \cdots = x_{2n-2-r+t}$$

because we could have chosen any $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (2n-2)/r - 2\}$. Because this is true for any choice of $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, we see that if $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ and $i \equiv j \pmod{r}$, then $x_i = x_j$. In particular, $x_{i_1} = x_{j_1}$ and $x_{i_2} = x_{j_2}$.

Next, assume r is odd. We find that

$$\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = (x_{n+r}, x_{n+r+1}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+r-1}). \tag{2}$$

Let $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2r\}$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-1)/r - 2\}$. Let

$$\ell = n - 1 + r + 2kr + t \pmod{2n-2},$$

and let

$$\ell' = n - 1 + r + \ell \pmod{2n-2}.$$

Inspection of (2) yields the equations $[\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_{2kr+t} = x_\ell$ and $[\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_\ell = x_{\ell'}$. Because $\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$, it follows that

$$x_{2kr+t} = [\vec{x}]_{2kr+t} = [\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_{2kr+t} = x_\ell = [\vec{x}]_\ell = [\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_\ell = x_{\ell'}.$$

Now,

$$\ell' = n - 1 + r + \ell \pmod{2n-2} = n - 1 + r + n - 1 + r + 2kr + t \pmod{2n-2} = 2(k+1)r + t,$$

so $x_{2kr+t} = x_{2(k+1)r+t}$. We could have chosen any $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-1)/r - 2\}$, so this shows that

$$x_t = x_{2r+t} = x_{4r+t} = \cdots = x_{2n-2-2r+t}.$$

As this is true for any $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2r\}$, we find that if $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ and $i \equiv j \pmod{2r}$, then $x_i = x_j$. In particular, $x_{i_1} = x_{j_1}$.

We are left to show that $x_{i_2} = x_{j_2}$ if n and r are both odd. If $i_2 \equiv j_2 \pmod{2r}$, then it follows from the preceding paragraph that $x_{i_2} = x_{j_2}$. Therefore, assume that $i_2 \not\equiv j_2 \pmod{2r}$. Because $i_2 \equiv j_2 \pmod{r}$ by hypothesis, we must have $j_2 \equiv r + i_2 \pmod{2r}$. Let $s = i_2 \pmod{2r}$. Referring to (2), we see that $[\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_s = x_{n-1+r+s}$. Because r and n are odd and $r|n-1$, we must have $2r|n-1$. This implies that $x_{n-1+r+s} = x_{r+s}$ because $n - 1 + r + s \equiv r + s \pmod{2r}$. Hence,

$$x_{i_2} = x_s = [\vec{x}]_s = [\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x})]_s = x_{n-1+r+s} = x_{r+s}.$$

Finally, since $j_2 \equiv r + i_2 \equiv r + s \pmod{2r}$, we find that

$$x_{i_2} = x_{r+s} = x_{j_2}.$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose r is a positive integer such that $(n-1)/r$ is an odd integer. Let $\iota_{r+1}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2r}$ be the map given in Definition 2.1. For any vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$, let $h(\vec{x})$ be the vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ obtained by concatenating $\iota_{r+1}(\vec{x})$ with itself $(n-1)/r$ times. We have $h(\vec{x}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis that $(n-1)/r$ is odd, we may write $n-1 = (2m+1)r$ for some integer m, so $n \equiv r+1 \pmod{2r}$. To say that $h(\vec{x})$ is obtained by concatenating $\iota_{r+1}(\vec{x})$ with itself $(n-1)/r$ times simply means that

$$[h(\vec{x})]_j = [\iota_{r+1}(\vec{x})]_{j \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2r)}$$

for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. Choose some $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$, and let $h(\vec{x}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2})$. We will use the fact that $a_i = a_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ satisfying the congruence $i \equiv j \pmod{2r}$. Pick some $\ell \in \{n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$, and let $\ell' = \ell \pmod{2r}$. If $\ell' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, then it follows from Definition 2.1 and the fact that

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2r}) = \iota_{r+1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r+1}) \tag{3}$$

that $a_{\ell'+r} = a_{r+1} + a_1 + a_{\ell'}$. Because we are performing arithmetic in $\mathbb{F}_2$, this implies that $a_{\ell'} = a_{r+1} + a_1 + a_{\ell'+r}$ if $\ell' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. Similarly, if $\ell' \in \{r+1, r+2, \ldots, 2r\}$, then we know from (3) that $a_{\ell'} = a_{r+1} + a_1 + a_{\ell'-r} = a_{r+1} + a_1 + a_{\ell'+r}$. Hence, the equation

$$a_{\ell'} = a_{r+1} + a_1 + a_{\ell'+r}$$

holds for any $\ell' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2r\}$. Observe that $\ell - (n-1) \equiv \ell' - r \equiv \ell' + r \pmod{2r}$, so $a_{\ell-(n-1)} = a_{\ell'+r}$. Also, $a_{r+1} = a_n$. Thus,

$$a_\ell = a_{\ell'} = a_{r+1} + a_1 + a_{\ell'+r} = a_n + a_1 + a_{\ell-(n-1)}.$$

As ℓ was arbitrary, this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\vec{x}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2}) &= (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_n + a_1 + a_2, a_n + a_1 + a_3, \ldots, a_n + a_1 + a_{n-1}) \\ &= \iota_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose n is even. Let r be a positive integer such that $r|n-1$. We have*

$$\beta_n(r) = 2^{r+1}.$$

Proof. Because $n-1$ is odd and $r|n-1$, we know that $(n-1)/r$ is an odd positive integer. This implies that $n-1 = (2m+1)r$ for some integer m, so $n \equiv r+1 \pmod{2r}$. Let $\iota_{r+1}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2r}$ be the map defined in Definition 2.1. For any $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$, we define $h(\vec{x})$ to be the vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ obtained by concatenating $\iota_{r+1}(\vec{x})$ with itself $(n-1)/r$ times. Lemma 2.3 tells us that $h(\vec{x}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ for each $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$.

Choose some $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$, and let $h(\vec{x}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2})$. Using the fact that r must be odd (because r divides $n-1$), one may verify that

$$\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{r}(h(\vec{x})) = (a_{n+r}, a_{n+r+1}, \ldots, a_{2n-2}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+r-1}).$$

Since $n \equiv r+1 \pmod{2r}$ and $a_i = a_j$ whenever $i \equiv j \pmod{2r}$, we find that

$$(a_{n+r}, a_{n+r+1}, \ldots, a_{2n-2}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+r-1}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2}).$$

That is, $\overline{\sigma}^r_{2n-2}(h(\vec{x})) = h(\vec{x})$. This shows that $h(\vec{x}) \in B_n(r)$, so we have a map $h\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1} \to B_n(r)$. We will show that h is a bijection; the proof of the lemma will then follow immediately since $\beta_n(r) = |B_n(r)|$.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r+1\}$ and $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$, we see from the definitions of ι_{r+1} and h that

$$[h(\vec{x})]_i = [\iota_{r+1}(\vec{x})]_i = [\vec{x}]_i.$$

Hence, h must be injective. We wish to show that h is surjective. To this end, choose some vector $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2n-2}) \in B_n(r)$. We may use Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\sigma^r_{2n-2}(\vec{y}) = \overline{\sigma}^r_{2n-2}(\vec{y}) = \vec{y}$ to deduce that $y_i = y_j$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ with $i \equiv j \pmod{2r}$. This means that $\vec{y}$ is the vector obtained by concatenating $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2r})$ with itself $(n-1)/r$ times. Because we are trying to show that h is surjective, we would like to be able to write $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2r}) = \iota_{r+1}(\vec{z})$, where $\vec{z} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{r+1})$. This would then imply that $\vec{y}$ is obtained by concatenating $\iota_{r+1}(\vec{z})$ with itself $(n-1)/r$ times, which would mean that $\vec{y} = h(\vec{z})$.

Since $\vec{y} \in B_n(r) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, we may write

$$\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n, y_n + y_1 + y_2, y_n + y_1 + y_3, \ldots, y_n + y_1 + y_{n-1}). \tag{4}$$

If we choose some $t \in \{2, 3, \ldots, r\}$ and equate the $(n-1+t)^{th}$ coordinates of the vectors in (4), we obtain the equation $y_{n-1+t} = y_n + y_1 + y_t$. Because $n \equiv r+1 \pmod{2r}$, we have $y_n = y_{r+1}$ and $y_{n-1+t} = y_{r+t}$. Thus, $y_{r+t} = y_{r+1} + y_1 + y_t$ for each $t \in \{2, 3, \ldots, r\}$. Consequently, $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2r})$ is equal to

$$(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{r+1}, y_{r+1} + y_1 + y_2, y_{r+1} + y_1 + y_3, \ldots, y_{r+1} + y_1 + y_r) = \iota_{r+1}(\vec{z}),$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose n is odd. Let r be a positive integer such that $r|2n-2$ and $r \nmid n-1$. We have*

$$\beta_n(r) = 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.4. Because $r|2n-2$ and $r \nmid n-1$, r must be even. Therefore, we may write $r = 2s$ for some integer s. Note that $s|n-1$. In fact, the hypothesis that $r \nmid n-1$ forces $(n-1)/s$ to be odd.

As before, we prove the lemma through the construction of a bijection $h\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1} \to B_n(r)$. Since $(n-1)/s$ is odd, we may write $n-1 = (2m+1)s$ for some integer m. Thus, $n \equiv s+1 \pmod{r}$. Let $\iota_{s+1}\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^r$ be the map defined in Definition 2.1. For each $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$, let $h(\vec{x})$ be the vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ obtained by concatenating $\iota_{s+1}(\vec{x})$ with itself $(n-1)/s$ times. Observe that $[h(\vec{x})]_i = [h(\vec{x})]_j$ whenever $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ and $i \equiv j \pmod{r}$. Using Lemma 2.3 (with s replacing r) shows us that $h(\vec{x}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ for each $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$.

Let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$, and let $h(\vec{x}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2})$. Since r is even, we have

$$\overline{\sigma}^r_{2n-2}(h(\vec{x})) = (a_{r+1}, a_{r+2}, \ldots, a_{2n-2}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r).$$

As $n \equiv s+1 \pmod{r}$ and $a_i = a_j$ whenever $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ and $i \equiv j \pmod{r}$, we see that

$$(a_{r+1}, a_{r+2}, \ldots, a_{2n-2}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2}).$$

Equivalently, $\overline{\sigma}^r_{2n-2}(h(\vec{x})) = h(\vec{x})$. This tells us that $h(\vec{x}) \in B_n(r)$, so we have a map $h\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1} \to B_n(r)$. The proof of the lemma will follow if we can show that h is a bijection.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s+1\}$ and $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$, it follows from the definitions of ι_{s+1} and h that

$$[h(\vec{x})]_i = [\iota_{s+1}(\vec{x})]_i = [\vec{x}]_i.$$

Consequently, h is injective. Choose some $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2n-2}) \in B_n(r)$. We may use Lemma 2.2 along with the hypothesis that n is odd and the fact that $\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{y}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(\vec{y}) = \vec{y}$ to see that $y_i = y_j$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ with $i \equiv j \pmod{r}$. This means that $\vec{y}$ is the vector obtained by concatenating $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_r)$ with itself $(n-1)/s$ times. Since $\vec{y} \in B_n(r) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, we may write

$$\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n, y_n + y_1 + y_2, y_n + y_1 + y_3, \ldots, y_n + y_1 + y_{n-1}). \tag{5}$$

Select some $t \in \{2, 3, \ldots, s\}$, and compare the $(n-1+t)^{th}$ coordinates of the vectors in (5) to find that $y_{n-1+t} = y_n + y_1 + y_t$. Because $n \equiv s+1 \pmod{r}$, we have $y_n = y_{s+1}$ and $y_{n-1+t} = y_{s+t}$. Therefore, $y_{s+t} = y_{s+1} + y_1 + y_t$ for each $t \in \{2, 3, \ldots, s\}$. This means that $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_r)$ is equal to

$$(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{s+1}, y_{s+1} + y_1 + y_2, y_{s+1} + y_1 + y_3, \ldots, y_{s+1} + y_1 + y_s) = \iota_{s+1}(\vec{z}),$$

where $\vec{z} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{s+1})$. We find that $\vec{y}$ is the vector obtained by concatenating $\iota_{s+1}(\vec{z})$ with itself $(n-1)/s$ times, so $\vec{y} = h(\vec{z})$. This shows that h is surjective, so the proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose n is odd. Let r be a positive integer such that $r|n-1$. We have*

$$\beta_n(r) = 2^r.$$

Proof. For any vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$, let $h(\vec{x})$ be the vector in F_2^{2n-2} obtained by concatenating $\vec{x}$ with itself $(2n-2)/r$ times. Choose some $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$, and let $h(\vec{x}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2})$. Note that $a_n = a_1$ because $n \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. In addition, for any $\ell \in \{n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$, we have $a_\ell = a_{\ell-(n-1)}$ because $\ell \equiv \ell-(n-1) \pmod{r}$. Therefore, for any $\ell \in \{n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$,

$$a_\ell = a_1 + a_1 + a_\ell = a_n + a_1 + a_\ell = a_n + a_1 + a_{\ell-(n-1)}.$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\vec{x}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2}) &= (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_n + a_1 + a_2, a_n + a_1 + a_3, \ldots, a_n + a_1 + a_{n-1}) \\ &= \iota_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

If r is even, then

$$\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(h(\vec{x})) = (a_{r+1}, a_{r+2}, \ldots, a_{2n-2}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = h(\vec{x}).$$

Similarly, if r is odd, then

$$\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(h(\vec{x})) = (a_{n+r}, a_{n+r+1}, \ldots, a_{2n-2}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+r-1}) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = h(\vec{x}).$$

In either case, we have $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(h(\vec{x})) = h(\vec{x})$ and $h(\vec{x}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, so $h(\vec{x}) \in B_n(r)$. Consequently, we obtain a map $h\colon \mathbb{F}_2^r \to B_n(r)$. Because $[h(\vec{x})]_i = [\vec{x}]_i$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$ and all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, the map h must be injective.

We now show that $h\colon \mathbb{F}_2^r \to B_n(r)$ is surjective. Choose some $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2n-2}) \in B_n(r)$. By the definition of $B_n(r)$, we know that $\sigma_{2n-2}^r(\vec{y}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(\vec{y}) = \vec{y}$. Because n is odd, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $y_i = y_j$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ satisfying the congruence $i \equiv j \pmod{r}$. In other words, $\vec{y}$ is the vector obtained by concatenating the vector $\vec{z} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_r)$ with itself $(2n-2)/r$ times. This means that $\vec{y} = h(\vec{z})$. As $\vec{y}$ was arbitrary, this proves that h is surjective. The proof then follows from the fact that $h\colon \mathbb{F}_2^r \to B_n(r)$ is a bijection and the fact that $|B_n(r)| = \beta_n(r)$. □

We are now in a position to prove determine $\alpha_n(r)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following theorem, we let μ denote the number-theoretic Möbius function. We also define $\xi_m(k)$, for positive integers k and m, by

$$\xi_m(k) = \begin{cases} 2^k, & \text{if } k|m-1; \\ 2^{\frac{k}{2}+1}, & \text{if } k \nmid m-1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let r be a positive integer. If n is even, then*

$$\alpha_n(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1}, & \text{if } r|n-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } r \nmid n-1. \end{cases}$$

If n is odd, then

$$\alpha_n(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\xi_n(d), & \text{if } r|2n-2; \\ 0, & \text{if } r \nmid 2n-2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us begin by recalling (1), which states that $\beta_n(k) = \sum_{d|k} \alpha_n(k)$ for any positive integer k. By Möbius inversion, we find that

$$\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\beta_n(d). \tag{6}$$

Applying Corollary 2.1 and Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 yields the desired result. □

Theorem 2.1 tells us a surprising fact about the numbers $\alpha_n(r)$. One might expect the value of $\alpha_n(r)$ to be heavily dependent on n since it is, after all, the number of periodic points of an SDS-map defined on a cycle graph of length n. Because varying n varies the base graph over which the SDS-map $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$ is defined, we might expect to obtain many different values of $\alpha_n(r)$ if we fix r and vary n. We may deduce from Theorem 2.1 that this is not the case. In fact, for any fixed r, there are only two nonzero possible values of $\alpha_n(r)$. We make this claim more precise in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Fix a positive integer r. Let*

$$\kappa_r = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^d$$

and

$$\lambda_r = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\xi_{\frac{r}{2}+1}(d), & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod 2; \\ 2\kappa_r, & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod 2. \end{cases}$$

For any integer $n \geq 3$, we have $\alpha_n(r) \in \{0, \kappa_r, \lambda_r\}$.

Proof. Choose an integer $n \geq 3$, and suppose $\alpha_n(r) \neq 0$. We will show that $\alpha_n(r) \in \{\kappa_r, \lambda_r\}$. First, assume n is even. Because $\alpha_n(r) \neq 0$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $r|n-1$ and $\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1}$. Because $r|n-1$ and n is even, r must be odd. Therefore,

$$\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1} = 2\kappa_r = \lambda_r.$$

We next assume n is odd. Because $\alpha_n(r) \neq 0$, we must have $r|2n-2$ and $\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\xi_n(d)$ by Theorem 2.1. We have two cases to consider.

Case 1: In this case, assume $r|n-1$. For each divisor d of r, we know that $\xi_n(d) = 2^d$ because $d|n-1$. Hence,

$$\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^d = \kappa_r.$$

Case 2: In this case, assume $r \nmid n-1$. Note that this implies that r is even. Since $r|2n-2$, we deduce that $(r/2)|n-1$. Since $(r/2)|r$, this implies that $\gcd(r, n-1) \geq r/2$. Because $r \nmid n-1$, $\gcd(r, n-1) < r$. Therefore, $\gcd(r, n-1) = r/2$. Let d be a positive divisor of r. Since $d|r$, we know that $d|n-1$ if and only if $d|\gcd(r, n-1)$. That is, $d|n-1$ if and only if $d|(r/2)$. It follows that $\xi_n(d) = \xi_{\frac{r}{2}+1}(d)$. This is true for any positive divisor d of r, so

$$\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\xi_n(d) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\xi_{\frac{r}{2}+1}(d) = \lambda_r.$$

□

In concluding this section, we prove the following converse of Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If n is even and $r|n-1$, then $\alpha_n(r) > 0$. If n is odd and $r|2n-2$, then $\alpha_n(r) > 0$.*

Proof. If n is even and $r|n-1$, then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1} = 2^{r+1} + \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ d<r}} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1} \geq 2^{r+1} - \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ d<r}} 2^{d+1} \geq 2^{r+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} 2^{k+1} = 4 > 0.$$

If n is odd and $r|2n-2$, then we may use Theorem 2.1 once again to see that

$$\alpha_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)\xi_n(d) = \xi_n(r) + \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ d<r}} \mu(r/d)\xi_n(d) \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} + \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ d<r}} \mu(r/d)\xi_n(d)$$

$$\geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} - \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ d<r}} \xi_n(d) \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \xi_n(k) \geq 2^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} 2^k = 4 > 0.$$

□

3. Periodic Points of $[C_n, (1 + \text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$

In the previous section, we enumerated the periodic points of each period of the SDS-map $[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]$. In this section, we will solve the same problem with regard to the SDS-map $[C_n, (1+\text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$. We will make use of much of the notation from the previous section. However, in order to handle the somewhat-more-complicated SDS-map $[C_n, (1 + \text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$, we will need the following slew of definitions.

In the following definition, let $\vec{\psi}_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}$ be the $(n-1)$-tuple of alternating 0's and 1's starting with 1. In other words,

$$[\vec{\psi}_{n-1}]_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $\mathrm{rev}(\vec{\psi}_{n-1})$ be the reverse of $\vec{\psi}_{n-1}$. That is, $\mathrm{rev}(\vec{\psi}_{n-1})$ is the vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}$ given by $[\mathrm{rev}(\vec{\psi}_{n-1})]_i = [\vec{\psi}_{n-1}]_{n-i}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\vec{u}_{2n-2}$ be the element of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ obtained by concatenating $\vec{\psi}_{n-1}$ with $\mathrm{rev}(\vec{\psi}_{n-1})$. More precisely, $\vec{u}_{2n-2}$ is the element of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$,

$$[\vec{u}_{2n-2}]_i = \begin{cases} [\vec{\psi}_{n-1}]_i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ [\mathrm{rev}(\vec{\psi}_{n-1})]_{i-(n-1)}, & \text{if } n \leq i \leq 2n-2. \end{cases}$$

For each positive integer m, let $\vec{v}_{n,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})$.

Example 3.1. Suppose $n = 7$. We have $\vec{\psi}_{n-1} = (1,0,1,0,1,0)$ and $\mathrm{rev}(\vec{\psi}_{n-1}) = (0,1,0,1,0,1)$. Thus, $\vec{u}_{2n-2} = (1,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1)$. We also calculate that

$$\vec{v}_{n,2} = \vec{u}_{2n-2} + \sigma_{2n-2}(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) = (1,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1) + (1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,0)$$

$$= (0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1).$$

♦

Definition 3.2. Define $\tau_{2n-2} \colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ by

$$\tau_{2n-2}(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{x}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2}.$$

Definition 3.3. For each positive integer r, let $\delta_n(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of $[C_n, (1 + \mathrm{parity})_3, \mathrm{id}]$ of period r, and let $\epsilon_n(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of $[C_n, (1 + \mathrm{parity})_3, \mathrm{id}]$ whose periods are divisors of r.

The following theorem should hopefully lend context to the seemingly unmotivated definitions of $\vec{u}_{2n-2}$ and τ_{2n-2} given above.

Theorem 3.1. *The map $\iota_n \colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ is a bijection, and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_2^n & \xrightarrow{[C_n, (1+\mathrm{parity})_3, \mathrm{id}]} & \mathbb{F}_2^n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_n} \\ \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} & \xrightarrow[\tau_{2n-2}]{} & \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, the maps $[C_n, (1+\mathrm{parity})_3, id]$ and τ_{2n-2} have the same number of periodic points of period r for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Although we have already seen that ι_n is a bijection, we include the first statement of the lemma to emphasize that the maps $[C_n, (1 + \text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$ and τ_{2n-2} are topologically conjugate (using the discrete topology).

Let

$$\omega_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\vec{z}_n$ be the vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ given by $[\vec{z}_n]_i = \omega_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $[\vec{z}]_n = \omega_{n-1}$. For example, if $n = 7$, then we have $\vec{z}_7 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\iota_n(\vec{z}_n) = \vec{u}_{2n-2}$. Furthermore, if $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, then

$$[C_n, (1 + \text{parity})_3, \text{id}](\vec{x})$$

$$= (\omega_1 + x_n + x_1 + x_2, \omega_2 + x_n + x_1 + x_3, \omega_3 + x_n + x_1 + x_4, \ldots, \omega_{n-2} + x_n + x_1 + x_{n-1}, \omega_{n-1} + x_1, \omega_{n-1} + x_2)$$

$$= \vec{z}_n + (x_n + x_1 + x_2, x_n + x_1 + x_3, \ldots, x_n + x_1 + x_{n-1}, x_1, x_2) = \vec{z}_n + [C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}](\vec{x}).$$

Since we already know from Fact 2.1 that $\iota_n \circ [C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}] = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2} \circ \iota_n$, we find that

$$\iota_n([C_n, (1 + \text{parity})_3, \text{id}](\vec{x})) = \iota_n(\vec{z}_n + [C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}](\vec{x})) = \iota_n(\vec{z}_n) + \iota_n([C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}](\vec{x}))$$

$$= \iota_n(\vec{z}_n) + \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\iota_n(\vec{x})) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\iota_n(\vec{x})) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} = \tau_{2n-2}(\iota_n(\vec{x}))$$

for each $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. □

Theorem 3.1 tells us that we may study the somewhat simpler map τ_{2n-2} instead of $[C_n, (1 + \text{parity})_3, \text{id}]$ because the two maps have the same number of periodic points of each period. This approach motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.4. For each positive integer r, let

$$D_n(r) = \{\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \colon \tau_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}, \tau_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) \neq \vec{x} \,\forall\, k < r\}$$

and

$$E_n(r) = \{\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \colon \tau_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}\}.$$

The set $D_n(r)$ is the set of periodic points of τ_{2n-2} of period r while the set $E_n(r)$ is the set of periodic points of τ_{2n-2} whose periods divide r.

In light of Theorem 3.1, $\delta_n(r) = |D_n(r)|$ and $\epsilon_n(r) = |E_n(r)|$. We now proceed to determine an explicit formula for $\delta_n(r)$ as we did with $\alpha_n(r)$ in the preceding section. It turns out that if n is a multiple of 4, then we can use our results from the last section to determine $\delta_n(r)$ rather quickly.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose* $4|n$. *For each positive integer* r,

$$\delta_n(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d) 2^{d+1}, & \text{if } r|n-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } r \nmid n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Our goal is to construct a bijection $\eta \colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ such that the diagram



commutes. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, this will show that the maps $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ and $\tau_{2n-2}\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ have the same number of periodic points of period r, implying that $\delta_n(r) = \alpha_n(r)$. The proof will then follow from Theorem 2.1.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, let

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4; \\ 1, & \text{if } i \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

For each $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$, let

$$z_j = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4; \\ 1, & \text{if } i \equiv 0, 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Consider the vector $\vec{a} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. For example, if $n = 8$, then $\vec{a} = (0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,0)$. Straightforward calculations show that $\vec{a} = \iota_n(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. Lemma 2.1 tells us that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. Since $\vec{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, we have $\vec{x}+\vec{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ for all $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. This shows that we may define a map $\eta\colon \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2} \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ by $\eta(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}+\vec{a}$. The map η is a bijection because it is its own inverse. We now only need to show that $\eta \circ \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2} = \tau_{2n-2} \circ \eta$. Using the fact that $4|n$, one may verify through direct calculations that $\vec{a} = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{a}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2}$. Therefore, for any $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{x})) &= \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{x}) + \vec{a} = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{x}) + \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{a}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} \\ &= \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{x}+\vec{a}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} = \tau_{2n-2}(\vec{x}+\vec{a}) = \tau_{2n-2}(\eta(\vec{x})). \end{aligned}$$

□

With the case $4|n$ now out of the way, we can focus of determining $\delta_n(r)$ when $4 \nmid n$. This turns out to be a bit more difficult. For one thing, we will need to know how to find $\tau_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x})$ for positive integers k. The following lemma makes this problem manageable, and it explains why we defined the vector $\vec{v}_{n,m}$ in Definition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ and any positive integer k, we have*

$$\tau_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,k}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on k. The case $k = 1$ follows immediately from the definition of τ_{2n-2} since $\vec{v}_{n,1} = \vec{u}_{2n-2}$. Let $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, and suppose $\tau_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,k}$. Note that

$$\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{v}_{n,k}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})\right) + \vec{u}_{2n-2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) = \vec{v}_{n,k+1}.$$

We have

$$\tau_{2n-2}^{k+1}(\vec{x}) = \tau_{2n-2}(\tau_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x})) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\tau_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x})) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,k}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2}$$
$$= \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^k(\vec{x})) + \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}(\vec{v}_{n,k}) + \vec{u}_{2n-2} = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{k+1}(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,k+1},$$

and the proof follows by induction. □

Unfortunately, it is quite painful (but still possible) to derive an explicit formula for $\vec{v}_{n,k}$ for each positive integer k. On the bright side, we will not need such a formula. We only need to know some general information about the vectors $\vec{v}_{n,k}$. Establishing this necessary information is the aim of the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let m be a positive integer, and let $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. If m is even or n is even, then*

$$[\vec{v}_{n,m}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,m}]_{j+n-1}.$$

Proof. Let

$$k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We will prove by induction on m that if m is a positive multiple of k, then $[\vec{v}_{n,m}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,m}]_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Note that the proof of the theorem will then follows immediately. We have $\vec{v}_{n,1} = \vec{u}_{2n-2}$. Therefore, if n is even, it follows from the definition of $\vec{u}_{2n-2}$ that

$$[\vec{v}_{n,k}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,1}]_j = [\vec{u}_{2n-2}]_j = [\vec{u}_{2n-2}]_{j+n-1} = [\vec{v}_{n,1}]_{j+n-1} = [\vec{v}_{n,k}]_{j+n-1}$$

for each $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Next, suppose n is odd. A straightforward calculation shows that $\vec{v}_{n,2} = \vec{u}_{2n-2} + \sigma_{2n-2}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})$ is the vector of length $2n-2$ whose $(n-1)^{th}$ and $(2n-2)^{th}$ coordinates are 1 and whose other coordinates are all 0. Consequently,

$$[\vec{v}_{n,k}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,2}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,2}]_{j+n-1} = [\vec{v}_{n,k}]_{j+n-1}$$

for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Regardless of the parity of n, we have shown that $[\vec{v}_{n,k}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,k}]_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Now, suppose m is a multiple of k and $[\vec{v}_{n,m}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,m}]_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Note that this implies that $[\sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m})]_j = [\sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m})]_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. For each $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\vec{v}_{n,m+k} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+k-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) = \vec{v}_{n,k} + \sum_{i=k}^{m+k-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) = \vec{v}_{n,k} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^k(\sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2}))$$
$$= \vec{v}_{n,k} + \sigma_{2n-2}^k\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})\right) = \vec{v}_{n,k} + \sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m}),$$

so

$$[\vec{v}_{n,m+k}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,k} + \sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m})]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,k}]_j + [\sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m})]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,k}]_{j+n-1} + [\sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m})]_{j+n-1}$$
$$= [\vec{v}_{n,k} + \sigma_{2n-2}^k(\vec{v}_{n,m})]_{j+n-1} = [\vec{v}_{n,m+k}]_{j+n-1}.$$

The proof now follows by induction on m. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let s be an even positive integer such that the number $\theta = \dfrac{n-1-s}{2s}$ is a nonnegative integer. Let ℓ' and i' be integers such that*

(a) $-2\theta \leq i' \leq 2\theta$
(b) $-(2s-1) \leq \ell' \leq 2s-1$
(c) $\ell' + 2i's \geq 0$
(d) $\ell' n + 2i's \equiv 0 \pmod{2n-2}$.

We have $i' = \ell' = 0$.

Proof. It follows from hypothesis (d) that

$$\ell' + 2i's \equiv \ell' n + 2i's \equiv 0 \pmod{n-1}. \tag{7}$$

Using hypotheses (a), (b), and (c), we have

$$0 \leq \ell' + 2i's \leq 2s - 1 + 2(2\theta)s = 2n - 3.$$

Combining these inequalities with (7), we find that either $\ell' + 2i's = 0$ or $\ell' + 2i's = n-1$. Suppose $\ell' + 2i's = n-1$. We have

$$\ell' = n - 1 - 2i's = 2\theta s + s - 2i's \equiv s \pmod{2s}$$

and $-(2s-1) \leq \ell' \leq 2s-1$, so $\ell' = \pm s$. From the equations $\ell' + 2i's = n-1$ and $\ell' = \pm s$, we find that $i' = \theta$ or $i' = \theta + 1$. We consider four cases.

Case 1: In this case, assume $\ell' = s$ and $i' = \theta$. We have

$$\ell' n + 2i's = sn + 2\theta s = sn + n - 1 - s = (s+1)(n-1).$$

Since s is even by hypothesis, this contradicts hypothesis (d).

Case 2: Here, assume $\ell' = s$ and $i' = \theta + 1$. We have

$$\ell' n + 2i's = sn + 2\theta s + 2s = sn + n - 1 + s \equiv s(n+1) \pmod{n-1}.$$

It follows from (7) that $n-1 | s(n+1)$. Note that $n = 2\theta s + s + 1$ is odd because s is even. Therefore, we may write $\frac{n-1}{2} \Big| s \cdot \frac{n+1}{2}$. Since $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\frac{n+1}{2}$ must be relatively prime (they differ by 1), we find that $\frac{n-1}{2} \Big| s$. This means that $n-1 | 2s$, so

$$2\theta s + s = n - 1 \leq 2s.$$

This forces $\theta = 0$, so $i' = 1$ and $\ell' = s = n-1$. Consequently, hypothesis (d) tells us that

$$2n - 2 | \ell' n + 2i's = n(n-1) + 2s = n(n-1) + 2(n-1) = (n+2)(n-1).$$

Because n is odd, this is impossible.

Case 3: In this case, we assume $\ell' = -s$ and $i' = \theta + 1$. We leave the reader to check that a contradiction similar to that obtained in Case 1 arises here.

Case 4: Here, suppose $\ell' = -s$ and $i' = \theta$. A contradiction similar to that derived in Case 2 arises here; we leave the reader to scrutinize the details.

A contradiction forms in each of the four possible cases, showing that it is impossible to have $\ell' + 2i's = n-1$. Therefore, we must conclude that $\ell' + 2i's = 0$. This means that $2s | \ell'$, so hypothesis (b) implies that $\ell' = 0$. The equation $\ell' + 2i's = 0$ then forces $i' = 0$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let s be a positive integer such that $\theta = \dfrac{n-1-s}{2s}$ is a nonnegative integer. Let $\vec{v}_{n,2s} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2})$. For each $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$, the equation*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = 0$$

holds.

Proof. Choose some $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$. For each positive integer i, let $b_i = [\vec{u}_{2n-2}]_{i \pmod{2n-2}}$. Thus, $\vec{u}_{2n-2} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{2n-2})$. For each $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2s-1\}$, we have

$$\sigma_{2n-2}^{\ell}(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) = (b_{\ell n+1}, b_{\ell n+2}, \ldots, b_{\ell n+2n-2}),$$

so

$$[\sigma_{2n-2}^{\ell}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})]_{2is+t} = b_{\ell n+2is+t}.$$

Because

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{2s-1} \sigma_{2n-2}^{\ell}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})\right]_{2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2s-1} \left[\sigma_{2n-2}^{\ell}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})\right]_{2is+t},$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t}. \tag{8}$$

As always, we divide the proof into cases.

Case 1: In this case, assume s is odd. Observe that $sn + 2\theta s = sn + n - 1 - s = (s+1)(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n-2}$. This implies that if ℓ and i are nonnegative integers, then

$$b_{\ell n+2is+t} = b_{\ell n+2is+t+sn+2\theta s} = b_{(\ell+s)n+2(i+\theta)s+t}.$$

In addition,

$$\sum_{i=2\theta+1}^{3\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} = \sum_{i=2\theta+1}^{3\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t-(2n-2)} = \sum_{i=2\theta+1}^{3\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t-2(2\theta+1)s}$$

$$= \sum_{i=2\theta+1}^{3\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2(i-(2\theta+1))s+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta-1}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t}.$$

We deduce that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} b_{(\ell+s)n+2(i+\theta)s+t} = \sum_{i=\theta}^{3\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t}$$

$$= \sum_{i=\theta}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} + \sum_{i=2\theta+1}^{3\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t}$$

$$= \sum_{i=\theta}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta-1}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t}.$$

Invoking (8), we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} + \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta}\sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} \sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} + \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} \sum_{\ell=s}^{2s-1} b_{\ell n+2is+t} = 0,$$

as desired.

Case 2: In this case, assume s is even. Referring to Definition 3.1, we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} [\vec{u}_{2n-2}]_k = 0.$$

Let $J = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2\theta} \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{2s-1} \{\ell n + 2is + t\}$, and note that we may rewrite (8) as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = \sum_{j\in J} b_j.$$

Observe that $|J| = (2\theta+1)(2s) = 2n-2$. We will show that J forms a complete residue system modulo $2n-2$. Because $b_{m_1} = b_{m_2}$ for any positive integers m_1 and m_2 satisfying the congruence $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{2n-2}$, it will then follow that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = \sum_{j\in J} b_j = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} b_k = 0.$$

To show that J forms a complete residue system modulo $2n-2$, we simply need to show that $j_1 \not\equiv j_2 \pmod{2n-2}$ for any distinct $j_1, j_2 \in J$. Suppose instead that there exist distinct $j_1, j_2 \in J$ such that $j_1 \equiv j_2 \pmod{2n-2}$. By the definition of J, we may write $j_1 = \ell_1 n + 2i_1 s + t$ and $j_2 = \ell_2 n + 2i_2 s + t$ for some $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2\theta\}$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2s-1\}$. Let us write $i' = i_2 - i_1$ and $\ell' = \ell_2 - \ell_1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\ell' + 2i's \geq 0$ (if $\ell' + 2i's < 0$, simply switch j_1 and j_2). We have

$$\ell' n + 2i's = (\ell_2 - \ell_1)n + 2(i_2 - i_1)s = j_2 - j_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n-2}.$$

In addition, $-2\theta \leq i' \leq 2\theta$ and $-(2s-1) \leq \ell' \leq 2s-1$ because $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2\theta\}$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2s-1\}$. The numbers s, i', and ℓ' satisfy all the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4, so that lemma guarantees that $i' = \ell' = 0$. However, this forces $j_1 = j_2$, which contradicts our assumption that j_1 and j_2 are distinct. With this final contradiction, the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $4 \nmid n$. For all $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, we have $\tau_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) \neq \vec{x}$.*

Proof. Let $\vec{u}_{2n-2} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n-2})$. Choose some $\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. Invoking the definition of $\vec{v}_{n,n-1}$, we have

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_\ell = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})\right]_\ell = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} [\sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})]_\ell.$$

Note that $[\sigma_{2n-2}^i(\vec{u}_{2n-2})]_\ell = a_{in+\ell \pmod{2n-2}}$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\}$. Therefore,

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_\ell = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{in+\ell \pmod{2n-2}}. \tag{9}$$

For the sake of finding a contradiction, assume there exists some $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ such that $\tau_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$.

Let us first suppose n is even. The function $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{n-1}$ is the identity map from $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ to $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ when n is even, so it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\vec{x} = \tau_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,n-1} = \vec{x} + \vec{v}_{n,n-1}.$$

Thus, $\vec{v}_{n,n-1}$ must be the zero vector $\vec{0}$. Using (9) with $\ell = 1$, we obtain

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{in+1 \pmod{2n-2}} = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-2)/2} a_{2in+1 \pmod{2n-2}} + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-4)/2} a_{(2j+1)n+1 \pmod{2n-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{(n-2)/2} a_{2i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-4)/2} a_{n+2j+1}.$$

Referring to Definition 3.1, we find (using the assumption that n is even) that $a_{2i+1} = 1$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-2)/2\}$ and $a_{n+2j+1} = 0$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-4)/2\}$. Furthermore, because $4 \nmid n$, $(n-2)/2$ is even. This shows that

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-2)/2} 1 = 1,$$

which contradicts the fact that $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$.

Next, assume n is odd. We have

$$\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) = (x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}),$$

so

$$\vec{x} = \tau_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,n-1} = (x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + \vec{v}_{n,n-1}.$$

Comparing the first two coordinates of the vectors in this last equation tells us that

$$x_1 = x_n + [\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = x_{n+1} + [\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_2. \tag{10}$$

Setting $\ell = 1$ in (9) gives

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{in+1 \pmod{2n-2}} = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} a_{2in+1 \pmod{2n-2}} + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-3)/2} a_{(2j+1)n+1 \pmod{2n-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} a_{2i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-3)/2} a_{n+2j+1}.$$

Upon inspection of Definition 3.1, we find (using the assumption that n is odd) that $a_{2i+1} = 1$ and $a_{n+2j+1} = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-3)/2\}$. Thus,

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} 1 = 0.$$

Let us invoke (9) with $\ell = 2$ to find that

$$[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{in+2 \pmod{2n-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} a_{2in+2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 2n-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-5)/2} a_{(2j+1)n+2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 2n-2)} + a_{(n-2)n+2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 2n-2)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} a_{2i+2} + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-5)/2} a_{n+2j+2} + a_{(n-2)n+2 \pmod{2n-2}}.$$

From Definition 3.1, one may show that $a_{2i+2} = 0$ and $a_{n+2j+2} = 0$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-3)/2\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-5)/2\}$. Hence, $[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_2 = a_{(n-2)n+2 \pmod{2n-2}}$. Observe that

$$(n-2)n + 2 = (n-1)^2 + 1 = (2n-2)\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2n-2}.$$

We conclude that $[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_2 = a_1$. Referring to Definition 3.1, we see that $a_1 = 1$. We have shown that $[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_1 = 0$ and $[\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_2 = 1$, so it follows from (10) that $x_1 = x_n$ and $x_2 = x_{n+1} + 1$.

Finally, we use that fact that $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$ to see that

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_n + x_1 + x_2, x_n + x_1 + x_3, \ldots, x_n + x_1 + x_{n-1}).$$

In particular, if we equate the $(n+1)^{th}$ coordinates of the vectors in this last equation, we obtain $x_{n+1} = x_n + x_1 + x_2$. Since we have shown that $x_1 = x_n$, this means that $x_2 = x_{n+1}$. However, this contradicts the fact that $x_2 = x_{n+1} + 1$, so the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.7. *For any $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, $\tau_{2n-2}^{2n-2}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$.*

Proof. Let $\vec{v}_{n,n-1} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{2n-2})$. Note that

$$\sigma_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{v}_{n,n-1}) = (b_n, b_{n+1}, \ldots, b_{2n-2}, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n-1}).$$

Because either n or $n-1$ is even, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $b_j = [\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_j = [\vec{v}_{n,n-1}]_{j+n-1} = b_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Therefore, $\sigma_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{v}_{n,n-1}) = \vec{v}_{n,n-1}$. This implies that

$$\vec{v}_{n,2n-2} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} \sigma_{2n-2}^{i}(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sigma_{2n-2}^{i}(\vec{u}_{2n-2}) + \sum_{i=n-1}^{2n-3} \sigma_{2n-2}^{i}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})$$

$$= \vec{v}_{n,n-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sigma_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\sigma_{2n-2}^{i}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})) = \vec{v}_{n,n-1} + \sigma_{2n-2}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sigma_{2n-2}^{i}(\vec{u}_{2n-2})\right) = \vec{v}_{n,n-1} + \sigma_{2n-2}^{n-1}(\vec{v}_{n,n-1})$$

$$= \vec{v}_{n,n-1} + \vec{v}_{n,n-1} = \vec{0}.$$

Using the fact that $\overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{2n-2}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$ for all $x \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, we may deduce from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\tau_{2n-2}^{2n-2}(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^{2n-2}(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,2n-2} = \vec{x} + \vec{0} = \vec{x}$$

for any $x \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. □

The following corollary, which follows immediately from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, provides a nice set of restrictions on the values of r on which we should focus when attempting to determine $\delta_n(r)$. It is analogous to Corollary 2.1 from the previous section.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose $4 \nmid n$. If r is a positive integer such that $r | n-1$ or $r \nmid 2n-2$, then $\delta_n(r) = 0$.*

Corollary 3.1 tells us that if $4 \nmid n$ and $\delta_n(r) \neq 0$, then r must be a divisor of $2n-2$ such that $\nu_2(r) = \nu_2(2n-2)$ (recall that $\nu_2(x)$ denotes the 2-adic order of a positive integer x).

Recall that Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 collectively allowed us to determine the value of $\beta_n(r)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove each of these lemmas, we constructed a bijection from some vector space of the form $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ to the set $B_n(r)$. We wish to use the same technique to determine $\epsilon_n(r)$ for any

$r \in \mathbb{N}$. The following paragraph and definition develop the terminology we need to construct the right bijection.

Let s be a positive integer, and suppose that $\theta = \dfrac{n-1-s}{2s}$ is a nonnegative integer. Let $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. Suppose we are given a vector $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s, c) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$. We can form a vector $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ as follows. First, let $x_t = b_t$ for each $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$, and let $x_n = c$. For each $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$, let

$$x_{t+s} = x_n + x_1 + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t}. \tag{11}$$

Finally, for any $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$ and any $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2\theta\}$, let

$$x_{2ks+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}. \tag{12}$$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$, we have defined x_i exactly once, so we obtain a well-defined vector $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. For future reference, we record this notion in the following definition.

Definition 3.5. Let s be a positive integer such that the number $\theta = \dfrac{n-1-s}{2s}$ is a nonnegative integer, and let $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$. For each vector $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s, c) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$, let $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$ denote the vector $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2})$ obtained from $\vec{b}$ and $\vec{w}$ in the manner described in the previous paragraph.

Lemma 3.8. *Let s be a positive integer such that $\theta = \dfrac{n-1-s}{2s}$ is a nonnegative integer. Let $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-2}$ be such that $w_j = w_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = 0$$

for all $t \in \{s+1, s+2, \ldots, 2s\}$. We have $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$.

Proof. Let $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b}) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2})$. We will show that $\vec{x} = \iota_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, which will immediately imply that $\vec{x} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. To do so, we need to show that $x_\ell = x_n + x_1 + x_{\ell-(n-1)}$ for all $\ell \in \{n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. Choose some $\ell \in \{n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$, and let $t = \ell \pmod{2s}$. We may write $\ell = 2ks + t$, where $k \in \{\theta, \theta+1, \ldots, 2\theta\}$.

First, suppose $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. This forces $2\theta s + t \leq n-1$. Because $\ell = 2ks + t \in \{n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$, we must have $k \geq \theta + 1$. Observe that $n - 1 = 2\theta s + s$, so $\ell - (n-1) = 2ks + t - 2\theta s - s = 2(k-\theta-1)s + (t+s)$. Using (12), we find that

$$x_{\ell-(n-1)} = x_{2(k-\theta-1)s+(t+s)} = x_{t+s} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-2} w_{2is+(t+s)}.$$

Since

$$x_{t+s} = x_n + x_1 + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t}$$

by (11), we obtain

$$x_{\ell-(n-1)} = x_n + x_1 + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-2} w_{2is+(t+s)}. \tag{13}$$

From the hypothesis that $w_j = w_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-2} w_{2is+(t+s)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-2} w_{2is+(t+s)+n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-2} w_{2is+(t+s)+2\theta s+s}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-2} w_{2(i+\theta+1)s+t} = \sum_{i=\theta+1}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}.$$

Hence, (13) becomes

$$x_{\ell-(n-1)} = x_n + x_1 + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}.$$

We know from (12) that

$$x_\ell = x_{2ks+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t},$$

so

$$x_{\ell-(n-1)} = x_n + x_1 + x_\ell.$$

This implies that $x_\ell = x_n + x_1 + x_{\ell-(n-1)}$, which is what we initially sought to prove.

We next assume that $t \in \{s+1, s+2, \ldots, 2s\}$. The proof is similar to the previous case. We will find it useful to write $t = t' + s$, where $t' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. First, we use the fact that $w_j = w_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ to write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t'} = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t'+n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t'+2\theta s+s} = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2(i+\theta)s+t} = \sum_{i=\theta}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t}.$$

We may rewrite the hypothesis $\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = 0$ as $\sum_{i=\theta}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta-1} w_{2is+t}$, so we find that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t'} = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta-1} w_{2is+t}.$$

Now, (11) tells us that

$$x_t = x_{t'+s} = x_n + x_1 + x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t'} = x_n + x_1 + x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta-1} w_{2is+t}.$$

By (12), we have

$$x_\ell = x_{2ks+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t} = x_n + x_1 + x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta-1} w_{2is+t} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}$$

$$= x_n + x_1 + x_{t'} + \sum_{i=\theta}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}. \tag{14}$$

Using (12) once again yields

$$x_{\ell-(n-1)} = x_{2ks+t-2\theta s-s} = x_{2(k-\theta)s+t'} = x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-1} w_{2is+t'}.$$

We use the fact that $w_j = w_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ to get the equation

$$x_{\ell-(n-1)} = x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-1} w_{2is+t'} = x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-1} w_{2is+t'+n-1} = x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-1} w_{2is+t'+2\theta s+s}$$

$$= x_{t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-\theta-1} w_{2(i+\theta)s+t} = x_{t'} + \sum_{i=\theta}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}.$$

Therefore, (14) becomes

$$x_\ell = x_n + x_1 + x_{\ell-(n-1)},$$

as desired. □

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose $4 \nmid n$, and let r be a positive integer such that $r|2n-2$ and $\nu_2(r) = \nu_2(2n-2)$. We have $\epsilon_n(r) \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1}$.*

Proof. Let $\vec{v}_{n,r} = \vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2})$. Since $\nu_2(r) = \nu_2(2n-2)$, r must be even. Hence, we may write $r = 2s$ for some integer s. Note that $s|n-1$ and $\nu_2(s) = \nu_2(n-1)$. This implies that $\frac{n-1}{s} - 1$ is an even integer. Therefore, setting $\theta = \frac{n-1-s}{2s}$, we find that θ is an integer.

Choose some $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s, c) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$, and let $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b}) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2})$. Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 tell us that $w_j = w_{j+n-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = 0$ for all $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$. Therefore, Lemma 3.8 guarantees that $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$. We wish to show that $\tau_{2n-2}^r(\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})) = \phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$ so that $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b}) \in E_n(r)$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\tau_{2n-2}^r(\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})) + \vec{v}_{n,r} = (x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) + \vec{v}_{n,r}$$

$$= (x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) + (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2}).$$

Therefore, our goal is to show that

$$(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2}) = (x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) + (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2}).$$

Equivalently, we need to show that

$$x_\ell = x_{\ell+r \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2n-2)} + w_\ell \tag{15}$$

for all $\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. Choose some such ℓ. First, assume $\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 4\theta\}$. We may write $\ell = 2ks + t$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2\theta - 1\}$. Invoking (12), we have

$$x_{\ell+r \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2n-2)} = x_{\ell+2s \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2n-2)} = x_{\ell+2s} = x_{2ks+t+2s} = x_{2(k+1)s+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k} w_{2is+t}$$

$$= w_{2ks+t} + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t} = w_{2ks+t} + x_{2ks+t} = w_\ell + x_\ell,$$

from which (15) follows. Next, suppose $\ell \in \{4\theta s+1, 4\theta s+2, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. We may write $\ell = 4\theta s + t$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$. Using (12) along with the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t} = 0$, we have

$$w_\ell + x_\ell = w_{2(2\theta)s+t} + x_{2(2\theta)s+t} = w_{2(2\theta)s+t} + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta-1} w_{2is+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{2\theta} w_{2is+t}$$
$$= x_t = x_{2n-2+t \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2n-2)} = x_{4\theta s+2s+t \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2n-2)} = x_{\ell+r \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 2n-2)}.$$

This implies (15), so we have shown that $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b}) \in E_n(r)$.

For any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}$, we have seen that $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$ is an element of $E_n(r)$. Hence, we obtain a map $g\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1} \to E_n(r)$ given by $g(\vec{b}) = \phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$. Referring to the definition of $\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$, we see that $[\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})]_i = [\vec{b}]_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$ and $[\phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})]_n = [\vec{b}]_{s+1}$. It follows that g is an injection, so

$$2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} = 2^{s+1} = |\mathbb{F}_2^{s+1}| \leq |E_n(r)| = \epsilon_n(r).$$

□

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose $4 \nmid n$, and let r be a positive integer such that $r|2n-2$ and $\nu_2(r) = \nu_2(2n-2)$. We have $\epsilon_n(r) = 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1}$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.9 states that $\epsilon_n(r) \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1}$, so we will now prove the reverse inequality. As in the proof of Lemma 3.9, we will write $r = 2s$ and $\theta = \dfrac{n-1-s}{2s}$. Let $\vec{v}_{n,r} = \vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2})$. Consider the map $g\colon \mathbb{F}_2^{s+1} \to E_n(r)$ defined in the proof of Lemma 3.8 by $g(\vec{b}) = \phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$. We proved Lemma 3.8 by showing that g is injective. Here, we will show that g is surjective. To this end, choose some $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-2}) \in E_n(r)$. Let $b_t = x_t$ for each $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$, and let $c = x_n$. We will show that $\vec{x} = \phi_{\vec{w},s}(\vec{b})$, where $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s, c)$. To do so, we need to show that $\vec{x}$ satisfies (11) for all $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$ and satisfies (12) for all $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2\theta\}$.

By Lemma 3.2 and the assumption that $\vec{x} \in E_n(r)$, $\vec{x} = \tau_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) = \overline{\sigma}_{2n-2}^r(\vec{x}) + \vec{v}_{n,r}$. Therefore,

$$\vec{x} = (x_{2s+1}, x_{2s+2}, \ldots, x_{2n-2}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2s}) + (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2n-2}). \tag{16}$$

Choose some $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$. Comparing the t^{th} coordinates of the vectors on each side of (16) yields $x_t = x_{2s+t} + w_t$, from which we deduce that $\vec{x}$ satisfies (12) with $k = 1$. Now, suppose $\vec{x}$ satisfies (12) for some $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2\theta - 1\}$. That is, assume $x_{2ks+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t}$. Equating the $(2ks+t)^{th}$ coordinates of the vectors on each side of (16) yields $x_{2ks+t} = x_{2(k+1)s+t} + w_{2ks+t}$, which shows that

$$x_{2(k+1)s+t} = x_{2ks+t} + w_{2ks+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{2is+t} + w_{2ks+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{k} w_{2is+t}.$$

Since t was arbitrary, it follows by induction on k that (12) holds for all $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2s\}$ and all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2\theta\}$.

Next, we show that $\vec{x}$ satisfies (11) for all $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. Select some such t. Because $\vec{x} \in E_n(r) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2n-2}$, we must have

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_n + x_1 + x_2, x_n + x_1 + x_3, \ldots, x_n + x_1 + x_{n-1}).$$

Equating the $(n-1+t+s)^{th}$ coordinates of the vectors on each side of this last equation, we find that $x_{n-1+t+s} = x_n + x_1 + x_{t+s}$. We have shown that $\vec{x}$ satisfies (12) for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2\theta\}$. Setting $k = \theta + 1$, we find that $x_{2(\theta+1)s+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t}$. Hence,

$$x_n + x_1 + x_{t+s} = x_{n-1+t+s} = x_{2\theta+s+t+s} = x_{2(\theta+1)s+t} = x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t}.$$

This implies that $x_{t+s} = x_n + x_1 + x_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} w_{2is+t}$, which is (11). □

We are finally able to prove our main result of this section. In what follows, for positive integers a and b, we write $a|_o\, b$ to mean that b/a is an odd integer. Equivalently, we say $a|_o\, b$ if and only if $a|b$ and $\nu_2(a) = \nu_2(b)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let r be a positive integer. If $4|n$, then*

$$\delta_n(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d) 2^{d+1}, & \text{if } r|n-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } r \nmid n-1. \end{cases}$$

If $4 \nmid n$, then

$$\delta_n(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|_o\, r} \mu(r/d) 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1}, & \text{if } r|_o\, 2n-2; \\ 0, & \text{if } r \nmid_o 2n-2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case $4|n$ is simply Lemma 3.1, so we will assume $4 \nmid n$. If m is a positive integer such that $m \nmid_o 2n-2$, then it follows from Corollary 3.1 that $\delta_n(m) = 0$. This proves the case in which $4 \nmid n$ and $r \nmid_o 2n-2$, so we may assume that $4 \nmid n$ and $r|_o\, 2n-2$. It follows from Definition 3.3 that $\epsilon_n(k) = \sum_{d|k} \delta_n(k)$ for all positive integers k. Therefore, we may use Möbius inversion to find that

$$\delta_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d) \epsilon_n(d). \tag{17}$$

Suppose d is a divisor of r such that $d \nmid_o r$ (implying that $\nu_2(d) < \nu_2(r)$). If d' is any positive divisor of d, then $d' \nmid_o 2n-2$ because $\nu_2(d') \leq \nu_2(d) < \nu_2(r) = \nu_2(2n-2)$. This implies that $\delta_n(d') = 0$ for any positive divisor d' of d, so

$$\epsilon_n(d) = \sum_{d'|d} \delta_n(d') = 0.$$

We have shown that $\epsilon_n(d) = 0$ for any positive divisor d of r such that $d \nmid_o r$, so (17) becomes

$$\delta_n(r) = \sum_{d|_o r} \mu(r/d) \epsilon_n(d).$$

Lemma 3.10 tells us that $\epsilon_n(d) = 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$ whenever $d|_o\, r$. Consequently,

$$\delta_n(r) = \sum_{d|_o\, r} \mu(r/d) 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1}.$$

□

Let us fix a positive integer r. Recall that we showed in Corollary 2.2 that there are only two possible nonzero values (which we denoted κ_r and λ_r) of $\alpha_n(r)$ that can be obtained by varying n. Interestingly enough, it turns out that there is only one possible nonzero value of $\delta_n(r)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Fix a positive integer r, and let*

$$\rho_r = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|_o r} \mu(r/d)2^{\frac{d}{2}+1}, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod 2; \\ \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1}, & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod 2. \end{cases}$$

For any integer $n \geq 3$, we have $\delta_n(r) \in \{0, \rho_r\}$

Proof. Choose an integer $n \geq 3$, and suppose that $\delta_n(r) \neq 0$. We will show that $\delta_n(r) = \rho_r$. First, assume r is odd. We know that $r \nmid_o 2n-2$, so it follows from Theorem 3.2 and the assumption that $\delta_n(r) \neq 0$ that $4|n$ and

$$\delta_n(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d)2^{d+1} = \rho_r.$$

Next, assume r is even. If $4|n$, then $r \nmid n-1$. Therefore, Theorem 3.2 tells us that $\delta_n(r) = 0$ if $4|n$. Because we assumed that $\delta_n(r) \neq 0$, we conclude that $4 \nmid n$. Hence, by combining Theorem 3.2 with the assumption that $\delta_n(r) \neq 0$, we find that

$$\delta_n(r) = \sum_{d|_o r} \mu(r/d)2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} = \rho_r.$$

□

To end this section, we state the following corollary to Theorem 3.2, which serves as an analogue of Corollary 2.3 as well as a sort of converse of Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $4|n$ and $r|n-1$, then $\delta_n(r) > 0$. If $4 \nmid n$ and $r|_o 2n-2$, then $\delta_n(r) > 0$.*

Proof. If $4|n$ and $r|n-1$, then we know from Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.2, and Corollary 2.3 that $\delta_n(r) = \alpha_n(r) > 0$. If $4 \nmid n$ and $r|_o 2n-2$, then we know by Theorem 3.2 that

$$\delta_n(r) = \sum_{d|_o r} \mu(r/d)2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} = 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} + \sum_{\substack{d|_o r \\ d<r}} \mu(r/d)2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} - \sum_{\substack{d|_o r \\ d<r}} 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2}+1} - \sum_{i=2}^{r/2} 2^i = 4 > 0.$$

□

4. Suggestions for Future Research

Because SDS are defined using so many parts, there are several ways to vary the problems considered here. It is natural to consider using a different set of states (such as $\mathbb{F}_3$) or a different family of graphs (such as wheel graphs or circulant graphs). In doing so, one would obtain a different family of SDS-maps to study. One would not expect to obtain results as strong as those obtained here (at least without a great deal of effort), but it seems likely that one could still obtain a decent amount of information. For example, it might be possible to obtain divisibility relationships governing the possible number of periodic points of period r of certain SDS-maps related to those

considered in this paper. It is also worth mentioning the possibility of obtaining results similar to Corollaries 2.2 and 3.2. Consider the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Given an SDS-map F, let $\gamma_F(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of F of period r. Let I be an indexing set, and let $V = \{F_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a collection of SDS-maps. We define the set

$$\mathcal{R}_r(V) = \{\gamma_{F_i}(r) \colon i \in I\}.$$

We say the collection V is *r-orbit-restricted* if $\mathcal{R}_r(V)$ is finite, and we say V is *orbit-restricted* if V is r-orbit-restricted for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Of course, any finite collection of SDS-maps is orbit-restricted. Corollaries 2.2 and 3.2 tells us that $|\mathcal{R}_r(\{[C_n, \text{parity}_3, \text{id}]\}_{n\geq 3})| \leq 3$ and $|\mathcal{R}_r(\{[C_n, (1+\text{parity})_3, \text{id}]\}_{n\geq 3})| = 2$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, so these collections of SDS-maps are also orbit restricted. What other families of SDS-maps are orbit-restricted? It seems quite difficult to answer this question in general, but we will at least make some conjectures. Fix an integer $m \geq 2$, and define $f_m \colon (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^3 \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by $f_m(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Furthermore, define $(1 + f_m) \colon (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^3 \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by $(1 + f_m)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. For the moment, we will define all SDS using the set of states $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (instead of $\mathbb{F}_2$). Let $F_{m,n}$ and $G_{m,n}$ denote the SDS-maps $[C_n, f_m, \text{id}]$ and $[C_n, 1 + f_m, \text{id}]$, respectively. Let $\beta_{m,n}(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of $F_{m,n}$ whose periods divide r. Let $\epsilon_{m,n}(r)$ denote the number of periodic points of $G_{m,n}$ whose periods divide r.

Conjecture 4.1. *The collections $\{F_{m,n}\}_{n\geq 3}$ and $\{G_{m,n}\}_{n\geq 3}$ are each orbit-restricted.*

Conjecture 4.2. *Let m, n, r be positive integers with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. Every prime divisor of $\beta_{m,n}(r)$ divides m. Every prime divisor of $\epsilon_{m,n}(r)$ divides m.*

A small amount of numerical evidence hints at the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.3. *Suppose m is a power of 2. If $F_{m,n}$ has a periodic orbit of period r, then $r|m(n-1)$. If $G_{m,n}$ has a periodic orbit of period r, then $r|m(n-1)$.*

5. Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to Taom Sakal, who wrote a computer program that was used to find the nonzero values of $\alpha_n(r)$ and $\delta_n(r)$ for all positive integers $n \leq 17$. This data was paramount to the production of conjectures that the author eventually developed into Theorems 2.1 and 3.2. Mr. Sakal also used the program to produce data that was used to make Conjectures 4.2 and 4.3.

The author would also like to thank Dr. Padraic Bartlett for introducing the author to sequential dynamical systems and giving excellent suggestions for the improvement of this article.

References

[1] C. L. Barrett and C. M. Reidys. Elements of a theory of computer simulation I: Sequential CA over random graphs. *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 98 (1999), 241–259.

[2] C. L. Barrett, H. B. Hunt III, M. V. Marathe, S. S. Ravi, D. J. Rosenkrantz, and R. E. Stearns. Reachability problems for sequential dynamical systems with threshold functions. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 295 (2003), 41–64.

[3] C. L. Barrett, H. B. Hunt III, M. V. Marathe, S. S. Ravi, D. J. Rosenkrantz, R. E. Stearns, and P. T. Tosic. Gardens of Eden and fixed points in sequential dynamical systems. DM–CCG, (2001), 95–110.

[4] C. L. Barrett, H. B. Hunt III, M. V. Marathe, S. S. Ravi, D. J. Rosenkrantz, and R. E. Stearns. On some special classes of sequential dynamical systems. *Ann. Comb.*, 7 (2003), 381–408.
[5] C. L. Barrett, H. S. Mortveit, and C. M. Reidys. Elements of a theory of computer simulation II: sequential dynamical systems. *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 107 (2000), 121–136.
[6] C. L. Barrett, H. S. Mortveit, and C. M. Reidys. Elements of a theory of computer simulation III: equivalence of SDS. *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 122 (2001), 325–340.
[7] L. D. Garcia, A. S. Jarrah, and R. Laubenbacher. Sequential dynamical systems over words. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 174 (2006), 500–510.
[8] M. Macauley, J. McCammond, and H. S. Mortveit. Dynamics groups of asynchronous cellular automata. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 33 (2011), 11–35.
[9] H. S. Mortveit and C. M. Reidys. *An introduction to sequential dynamical systems.* Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
[10] H. S. Mortveit and C. M. Reidys. Discrete, sequential dynamical systems. *Discrete Math.*, 226 (2001), 281–295.
[11] C. M. Reidys. On acyclic orientations and sequential dynamical systems. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 27 (2001), 790–804.

University of Florida, 1400 Stadium Rd., Gainesville, FL 32611 United States

E-mail address: cdefant@ufl.edu